

(4) (3)
wds-2888/05

IN THE M.P. STATE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL AT RAIPUR
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 62 OF 1998

APPLICANT :

MAHESH RAM GANDHARVA

(Ex. Asstt. Teacher previously appointed on adhoc basis)

S/O SHRI ^{Shy} DULARE GANDHARVA

AGE ABOUT 38 YEARS,

R/O - VILLAGE - BHARNI,

BLOCK & TAH. - TAKHATPUR,

DISTT. - BILASPUR (M.P.)

VERSUS

NON-APPLICANT/
RESPONDENTS

- (1) STATE OF M.P.. THROUGH COLLECTOR,
BILASPUR, DISTT. BILASPUR (M.P.)
- (2) COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC
INSTRUCTIONS, GOVERNMENT OF M.P.,
DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION (DPI
OFFICE) NEAR CHETAK BRIDGE, BHOPAL
(M.P.)
- (3) DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC
INSTRUCTIONS,
EDUCATION DISTT. BILASPUR
EDN. DEPTT.
P.O. BILASPUR M.P.

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 19 OF THE ADMINISITRATIVE TRIBUNAL ACT. 1985
(NO.13 OF 1985) READ WITH ARTICLES 14 & 16 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

PARTICULARS OF APPLICANT

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

S.B. : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.2388 OF 2005

Petitioner : Mahesh Ram
Gandharva

versus

Respondents : State of M.P. and
others

Present : Mr. A.N.Bhakta, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer for the
respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on 7th of September, 2006)

It is submitted that the facts and question of law involved in the present petition are same to that of in *Malikram Sidar and others vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and others* (Original Application No.624/1997). The Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur, by its judgment and order dated 22-3-1997, allowed the application of the petitioners therein. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to approach the respondents/State for consideration and disposal of the petitioner's

(61)

case in terms of the order dated 22-3-1997 passed in the case of *Malikram Sidar and others* (Supra) by Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents/State fairly agrees with the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Accordingly, the petitioner is granted liberty to make a representation before the respondents – State Authorities for their decision on the representation in the light of the order dated 22-3-1997 passed in the case of *Malikram Sidar and others* (Supra), in accordance with law, on its own merit, within reasonable time.

4. With the above-stated liberty, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge